



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/509,280	03/20/2000	PETER ROWAN KELLOCK	SPR6147P0010	3713	
32116 7	32116 7590 11/02/2006			EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHII 500 W. MADIS	LLIPS, KATZ, CLAR	AN, SH	AN, SHAWN S		
SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II	. 60661		2621		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary					
		09/509,280	KELLOCK ET AL.		
	omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Shawn S. An	2621		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a soil of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejecte Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	wn from consideration.			
	·	_			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-7,9,10,15,16,19,20,22,24-30,32,33,38,39,42,43,45,47-53,55,56,60-62,65,66,68 and 100-117.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1-7,9,10,15,16,19,20,22,24-30,32,33,38,39,42,43,45,47-53,55,56,60-62,65,66,68 and 100-117.

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. As per Applicant's instructions as filed on 8/11/06, a plurality of claims have been amended and canceled. See Applicant's <u>Amendments to the Claims</u> for detail.

Response to Remarks

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been carefully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection incorporating the previously cited prior art (Abecassis) reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-2, 7, 9, 15-16, 19-20, 24-25, 30, 32, 38-39, 42-43, 47-48, 53, 55, 60-62, 65-66, 103-105, 109-111, and 115-117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abecassis (6,067,401).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 20, 24, 32, 43, 47, 55, 60, and 66, Abecassis discloses a system/method and a computer program code means (col. 4, lines 56-65) for processing video segment, comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

computerized digital signal processing means for automatically performing one or more digital signal processing algorithms implemented in computer hardware or software on visual data comprised in the input video signal to obtain/derive at least one descriptor value (Fig. 2; Note: <u>default setting for a descriptor value assigned is always 1 or none, implying the absence of an element</u>; see also generalized descriptive rating) for each of a plurality of segments of the input video signal (Figs. 5 and 8C; col. 8, lines 23-33 and 46-60);

means for using a selection rule and the descriptor values to select, from among the plurality of video segments, at least two segments (Fig. 8C, 825);

means for using a sequencing (824) rule and the descriptor values of the at least two selected video segments to derive a sequencing order in which to present the at least two video segments, wherein two selected segments are permuted in the sequencing order (non-sequential) relative to the sequence of the at least two segments in the input video signal (col. 23, lines 1-14); and

means for assembling (Fig. 8C, 825) an output video production by including the selected video segments in the selected order.

Regarding claims 105, 111, and 117, Abecassis discloses a system/method and a computer program code means (col. 4, lines 56-65) for creating an output video production from an input video signal, comprising:

means for obtaining at least time two time series descriptors in the form of time series data representing the value of a characteristic of the input video signal at each of a series of successive time periods (Fig. 2B, 230; col. 8, lines 34-45);

means for using at least one of the time series descriptors for deriving a set of segment boundary times defining a plurality of segments of the input video signal, (Fig. 2B, 230; col. 8, lines 33-45).

means for applying a descriptor reduction rule (220, TABLE Category) to at least a second one of the time series descriptors to obtain automatically at least one segment descriptor for each of the segments of the input video signal (Fig. 8C; col. 22, lines 62-67; col. 23, lines 1-14), wherein the segment descriptor have a single value (Fig. 2B, see 1, 2, 3, and/or 4) for each respective segment of the input video signal;

Art Unit: 2621

means for using a selection rule and the descriptor values to select, from among the plurality of video segments, at least two segments (Fig. 8C, 825); and

means for assembling (Fig. 8C, 825) an output video production by including the selected video segments.

Regarding claims 2, 25, and 48, Abecassis discloses two grids corresponding to representations of the at least two video segments for a first/second axis, wherein each cell in the grid displays a value ascribed to one of at least one descriptors (Fig. 2A).

Regarding claims 7, 30, and 53, Abecassis discloses importing a descriptor and at least one value ascribed thereto prior to importation into the system (Fig. 2A).

Regarding claims 15, 38, and 61, Abecassis discloses means for segmenting a video input by enabling definition or adjustment of start and end times of a video segment by direct user manipulation (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 16, 39, and 62, Abecassis discloses means (Fig. 2A) for deriving a single value from a plurality of values of a descriptor corresponding to video segements.

Regarding claims 19, 42, and 65, Abecassis discloses providing playback of the output video production (abs.).

Regarding claims 103, 109, and 115, Abecassis discloses:

means for obtaining a first descriptor value for each of segments (Fig. 2);

means for ascribing at least one second descriptor value to at least a first of the segments (823); and

means for grouping the first segment with at least one other of the segments according to the values of the first descriptor value (825); and

means for selectively copying the second descriptor value to one or more other segment (abs.).

Regarding claims 104, 110, and 116, Abecassis discloses:

display means for allowing a user to view the output production (Fig. 8C, see VIEW);

Art Unit: 2621

data input means for receiving instructions from the user to modify at least one of the descriptor values (Fig. 9, 933); and

a modified output production based on the modified descriptor values (941).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3-6, 10, 22, 26-29, 33, 45, 49-52, 56, 68, 100-102, 106-108, and 112-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abecassis (6,067,401).

Regarding claims 3, 26, and 49, Abecassis discloses a row visually representing at least two video segments, and time-series graphical representation of a plurality of values of a descriptor corresponding to one of at least two video segments, wherein the temporal (230) extent of each of the at least two video segments is indicated (Fig. 2B).

Abecassis does not particularly disclose an audio content of the video segments.

However, the Examiner takes official notice that a row comprising an audio content of the video segments is well known in the art (see also UK 2,329,812).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art employing a system for processing video segment as taught by Abecassis to incorporate the well known concept of audio content of the video segments for the purpose of an audio editing.

Regarding claims 4, 27, and 50, Abecassis discloses first and second grids, wherein a change to the original first grid causes a corresponding change to the second grid (Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 5-6, 28-29, and 51-52, the Examiner takes official notice that creating dissolve or an audio cross fade is a well known feature in a scene analysis.

Art Unit: 2621

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art employing a system for processing video segment as taught by Abecassis to incorporate the well known concept as discussed above for the purpose of creating a smooth transitions between two video segments.

Regarding claims 10, 33, and 56, the Examiner takes official notice that it is an obvious feature to include a formula or algorithm having a reference to at least one other descriptor so as to compare the reference descriptor value with a desired change value by an user.

Regarding claims 22, 45, and 68, the Examiner takes official notice that conventionally deriving a target or a reference or a threshold value is well known in the art as a comparison basis to define a certain condition for a data/value.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art employing a system for processing video segment as taught by Abecassis to sequence video segments according to the difference between values of at least one descriptor and a target value.

Regarding claims 100-101, 106-107, and 112-113, since Abecassis discloses selecting and sequencing at least two video segments, it would have been obvious to apply a predetermined set of selection and sequencing rules as a set of guideline for selecting and sequencing video segments.

Regarding claims 102, 108, and 114, Abecassis discloses obtaining at least two time series descriptors in the form of two time series data (Fig. 2B, 230) for deriving a set of boundary times defining the segments of the input video signal, and further discloses that an editor has complete control as to the video material to which a viewer is exposed such as time, and amount of viewing control (col. 8, lines 34-45; col. 23, lines 15-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to obtain at least one descriptor value for each segment of the input video signal automatically by using at least a second of the time series descriptors as an efficient way to obtain descriptor values.

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn S. An* whose telephone number is 571-272-7324.
- 9. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/29/06

SHAWN AN PRIMARY EXAMINER